


YEAR 2000 PROGRESS

Agency Grade Distribution



Federal Department and Agency Grades For August 15, 1998

Please sign your Report Card and have your
Secretary or Agency head sign
to designate awareness of your progress this grading period:

Department or Agency Chief Information Officer

Department Secretary or Agency Head

REPORT CARD

YEAR 2000 PROGRESS

for

Federal Departments and Agencies

Overall Grade is D

YEAR 2000 PROGRESS REPORT CARD

	97 Aug 15	98 Feb 15	98 May 15	98 Aug 15	2000 Final Exam
SSA Social Security Administration	A-	A	A+	A	
NSF National Science Foundation	B	A	A-	A	
SBA Small Business Administration	B	B	B	A	
GSA General Services Administration	B	C	A-	B+	
Commerce Department of Commerce	D	B	B	B	
EPA Environmental Protection Agency	C	B	F	B	
VA Department of Veterans Affairs	C	A	C	B-	
FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency	C	D-	A-	B-	
NASA National Aeronautics and Space Admin	D-	D	B	C+	
Agriculture Department of Agriculture	D-	B	D	C	
HUD Dept of Housing and Urban Development	C	B	C	C	
Treasury Department of the Treasury	D-	D	C	D+	
DOT Department of Transportation	F	F	F	D	

	97 Aug 15	98 Feb 15	98 May 15	98 Aug 15	2000 Final Exam
OPM Office of Personnel Management	D	B	C-	D	
DOD Department of Defense	C-	F	D	D	
Labor Department of Labor	C	F	C	D	
Interior Department of the Interior	C	C-	C-	D	
NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission	D	C-	B	D	
HHS Dept of Health and Human Services	B-	D	F	F	
DOE Department of Energy	D	D-	F	F	
State Department of State	C	F	F	F	
Justice Department of Justice	D	C-	D	F	
Education Department of Education	F	F	D	F	
AID Agency for International Development	F	D-	F	F	
Administration Overall Federal Departments and Agencies		D-	F	D	

Prepared for Subcommittee Chairman Stephen Horn. Subcommittee on Government Management, Information, and Technology. Issued September 9, 1998 based on agency data from August 15, 1998. Subcommittee Home Page on the Internet: <http://www.house.gov/reform/gmit>

How Grades were Assigned

- The primary determinant of grades is the ~~date all of the~~ department/agency mission-critical systems become **Y2K** compliant. The Subcommittee determines the percentage of mission-critical systems **that** will be compliant by **OMB's** March 1999 deadline and the year an department/agency will be fully compliant by using the agency's rate of progress averaged over the five reporting quarters.

Finishing before the March 1999 deadline earns a base grade of A. Finishing later in 1999 receives a base **grade of B**. Finishing in 2000 is a base grade of C and in 2001 earns a D. Anything after 2001 is an F.

We considered failing every agency with an estimated end date after the March 1999 deadline, however, the estimated end dates are just that - estimates. **We** trust that agencies will **improve** their rates of progress and move to successful completion before the Year **2000** deadline.

The estimated end dates were only part of an overall subcommittee analysis of agency **Y2K** performance. Our analysis included additional factors that raised or lowered grades from their base grade. The additional factors included:

1. Heavy reliance on the high risk strategy of replacing systems. Because agencies have a very poor track record of delivering new systems on time and within budget, when an agency/department reported a high percentage of mission-critical systems as being replaced (versus being repaired) their grade was lowered.
2. Current percentage of renovated systems. OMB has established the date of September 1998 for agencies to complete renovations of their mission-critical systems. If the percentage of renovated systems was very low, it was determined that the agency will have difficulty meeting the renovation milestone as well as the **March** 1999 deadline.
3. Strong management involvement. If the senior executives of an Department or agency have taken a leadership role and demonstrated significant involvement in Year **2000** activities, this factor figured positively in the determination of the grade.
4. Contingency Plans - agencies should have at least basic contingency plans in place already. Many agencies have made the fundamental error Of preparing **contingency plans** **Only for those systems** they **know will be late**. **We and** GAO insist that agencies prepare contingency plans that assume systems failures and still maintain basic operations. These plans are being called business continuity plans to distinguish them from current weak agency contingency plans.
5. Telecommunications **Systems** - In-house **PBXs**, LAN/WAN, and commercial switched networks are all vulnerable to **Y2K** problems. By now all agencies should have completed a thorough inventory and assessment of all telecommunications systems. We would expect a reasonable percentage to now be compliant and a realistic plan in place for the remainder.
6. Embedded Systems -- Microprocessor chips of various types are often built **in** (embedded) to control devices. They may measure such basic things as gallons per minute of water flowing through a pipe or read magnetic strips in security badges. Many embedded chips that have no overt date dependencies nonetheless use date related calculations. Unfortunately, the only way to know whether or not most embedded chips are compliant is to test them. Agencies should have a complete inventory of all embedded chips, know the compliance of a reasonable percentage thereof, and have a remediation plan in place.
7. External Data Exchange -- Like "no man is an island," so too, few computer systems are self-contained. Most computer systems exchange data with other computer systems. It is unfortunately easy for external dam that is not **Y2K** compliant to corrupt another computer system that is **Y2K** compliant. All agencies

should have a complete inventory of all data exchanges, with emphasis on external data exchanges, know which are compliant, and have a plan in place for the remainder.

Examples:

Social Security is projected to **finish** in 1999 with 99 percent of their mission-critical systems compliant by **March** 1999. Further, they have good business continuity contingency plans and a good knowledge of the status of all their external data exchanges. Plus, they have been very helpful to other agencies on governmentwide **Y2K** efforts.

The **Department** of Transportation is projected to finish in 1999 but only 70 percent of their mission-critical systems will be compliant by **OMB's** deadline of March 1999. Worse, their telecommunication systems, embedded systems, and external data exchanges are very weak. Additionally, a major component of the **Department.FAA** continues to experience significant problems in becoming **Y2K** compliant.

DOE is projected to **finish** in 2002 so their base grade is F and they have a large percentage of Replacement Systems, a large percentage of reclassified Mission-Critical Systems, and they have not adequately addressed **the** other critical criteria.

YEAR 2000 PROGRESS

Federal Departments and Agencies

AGENCY SELF-REPORTED
DATA FOR LAST 3 MONTHS

August 15, 1998

	MISSION-CRITICAL SYSTEMS				ADDITIONAL CRITERIA				GRADE
	Total Systems	Systems Compliant as of	*Estimated Compliant by March 1999	*Estimated Year 100% Compliant	Contingency Plans	Telecommunication Systems	Embedded Systems	External Data Exchange	
SSA Social Security Administration	308	93%	99%	1999	+	IP	IP	IP	A
NSF National Science Foundation	17	82%	100%	1999	+	IP	-	+	A
SBA Small Business Administration	42	74%	98%	1999	IP	IP	-	IP	A
GSA General Services Administration	58	78%	91%	1999	+	IP	IP	+	B+
Commerce Department of Commerce	455	76%	100%	1999	IP	-	-	+	B
EPA Environmental Protection Agency	58	79%	92%	1999	-	IP	-	-	B
VA Department of Veterans Affairs	319	61%	88%	1999	-	+	IP	+	B-
FEMA Federal Emergency Mgmt Agency	49	69%	82%	1999	+	IP	+	+	B-
NASA National Aeronautics and Space Admin	158	63%	74%	2000	IP	+	+	+	C+
Agriculture Department Of Agriculture	647	63%	89%	1999	IP	-	-	IP	C
HUD Dept Of Housing and Urban Development	62	60%	78%	1999	+	IP	IP	-	C
Treasury Department of the Treasury	323	45%	61%	2000	IP	IP	IP	IP	D+
DOT Department Of Transportation	616	46%	70%	1999	IP	-	-	-	D
OPM Office Of Personnel Management	111	48%	65%	2000	IP	IP	IP	IP	D
DOD Department Of Defense	2965	42%	54%	2001	IP	IP	-	IP	D
Labor Department Of Labor	61	39%	52%	2001	+	IP	-	+	D
Interior Department of the Interior	91	32%	37%	2005	0	IP	-	IP	D
NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission	7	29%	43%	2001	+	+	IP	+	D
HHS Dept Of Health and Human Services	298	41%	48%	2002	IP	IP	-	IP	F
DOE Department Of Energy	411	29%	47%	2002	-	-	IP	-	F
State Department of State	59	36%	37%	2027	IP	-	+	IP	F
Justice Department of Justice	207	31%	31%	2030+	IP	-	-	-	F
Education Department of Education	14	29%	29%	2030+	IP	IP	-	IP	F
AID Agency for International Development	7	14%	16%	2023	-	IP	IP	IP	F
TOTAL All Departments and Agencies	7343	50%	66%						D

*All progress, calculations, and estimates are based on Subcommittee staffs analysis of agency self-reported data.

The estimated percentage of mission-critical systems done by March 1999 OMB deadline is a subcommittee estimate based on agency's rate of progress.

Additional Criteria are rated 'plus' if the agency is nearing completion or completed the task; rated 'negative' if the agency is significantly behind; or 'IP' if the task is in progress.

MISSION	CRITICAL S		(STEMS ONLY				TOTAL VS COMPLIANCE						Projected	DONE by March 1999			
	Quarter	Total	Now Compliant	New Replace	New Repair	New Retire	% Done	Qtr/Qtr Change	Average Change	QTRs NEED	Forecasted End Quarter	Percent Done	Percent NOT	Count Done	Count NOT		
Agriculture	15-Aug-98	647	406	56	171	14	63%	22.9%	13.1%	2.8	May-99	89%	11%	576	71		
	15-May-98	1080	430	271	317	62	40%	6.1%	9.9%	6.1	Feb-00	69%	31%	750	330		
	15-Feb-98	1161	391	269	356	145	34%	18.6%	11.8%	5.6	Aug-99	61%	19%	937	224		
	15-Nov-97	1341	210	58	947	126	16%	5.5%	5.5%	15.4	Nov-01	43%	57%	578	763		
	15-Aug-97	1239	126	37	932	144	10%										
Commerce	15-Aug-98	455	348	49	58	0	76%	3.8%	12.5%	1.9	Feb-99	102%	-2%	462	-7		
	15-May-98	472	343	57	72	0	73%	9.3%	15.4%	1.8	Nov-98	119%	-19%	561	-89		
	15-Feb-98	470	298	62	110	0	63%	10.2%	18.5%	2.0	Aug-98	137%	-37%	645	-175		
	15-Nov-97	513	273	33	119	38	53%	26.8%	26.8%	1.7	May-98	187%	-87%	960	-447		
	Data Error	15-Aug-97	503	133	118	158	3	26%									
Defense	15-Aug-98	2965	1236	144	1521	24	42%	12.7%	6.0%	9.7	Feb-01	54%	46%	1592	1373		
	15-May-98	2803	812	255	1566	170	29%	4.7%	3.8%	14.8	Feb-03	40%	60%	1129	1674		
	15-Feb-98	2915	706	330	1714	164	24%	2.8%	3.3%	23.1	Feb-04	37%	63%	1089	126		
	15-Nov-97	3143	672	203	2140	128	21%	3.7%	3.7%	21.0	Feb-03	40%	60%	1259	1884		
	Data Error	15-Aug-97	3695	652	267	2860	183	18%									
Education	15-Aug-98	14	4	2	8	0	29%	0.0%	-3.3%	UND	UND	22%	78%	3	11		
	15-May-98	14	4	-2	8	0	29%	-7.1%	-4.4%	UND	UND	15%	85%	2	11		
	15-Feb-98	14	5	2	7	0	36%	-1.1%	-3.0%	UND	UND	24%	76%	3	11		
	15-Nov-97	19	7	6	5	1	37%	-4.8%	-4.8%	UND	UNC	13%	87%	2	17		
	15-Aug-97	24	10	6	7	1	42%										
Energy	15-Aug-98	411	164	110	109	28	40%	3.6%	3.8%	15.9	Aug-02	47%	53%	195	216		
	15-May-98	411	149	131	119	12	36%	2.5%	3.8%	16.7	Aug-02	48%	52%	196	215		
	15-Feb-98	370	125	114	120	11	34%	4.5%	4.5%	14.8	Nov-01	52%	48%	191	179		
	15-Nov-97	468	137	157	161	13	29%	4.5%	4.5%	15.9	Nov-01	52%	48%	241	227		
	15-Aug-97	399	99	170	122	10	25%										
HHS	15-Aug-98	298	122	34	135	7	41%	7.0%	3.6%	16.4	Nov-02	48%	52%	144	154		
	15-May-98	289	98	62	129	0	34%	-4.2%	2.5%	26.7	Feb-05	41%	59%	119	170		
	15-Feb-98	491	147	113	178	13	38%	5.4%	5.8%	10.7	Nov-02	61%	39%	301	190		
	15-Nov-97	487	159	126	194	10	33%	6.2%	6.2%	10.9	Aug-02	63%	37%	309	178		
	15-Aug-97	434	115	146	166	7	26%										
IUD	15-Aug-98	62	37	7	17	1	60%	10.5%	9.4%	4.3	Nov-99	78%	22%	49	13		
	15-May-98	63	31	11	21	0	49%	9.5%	9.0%	5.6	Nov-99	76%	24%	48	15		
	15-Feb-98	63	25	11	23	3	40%	7.9%	8.8%	6.9	Nov-99	75%	25%	47	16		
	15-Nov-97	195	62	35	77	21	32%	9.7%	9.7%	7.0	Aug-99	80%	20%	157	38		
All Sys	15-Aug-97	231	51	45	108	27	22%										
Interior	15-Aug-98	91	29	8	54	0	32%	-8.8%	2.6%	25.91	Feb-05	37%	63%	34	57		

MISSION - CRITICAL SYSTEMS ONLY															
TOTAL VS COMPLIANCE															
Projected DC by March 1999															
	Quarter	Total	Now Compliant	New Replace	New Repair	New Retire	% Done	Qtr/Qtr Change	Average Change	QTRs NEED	Forecasted End Quarter	Percent Done	Percent NOT	Count Done	Count NOT
	15-May-98	91	37	11	43	0	41%	4.9%	6.4%	9.2	Nov-00	60%	40%	55	36
	15-Feb-98	95	34	13	48	0	36%	7.5%	7.2%	8.9	May-00	65%	35%	81	34
	15-Nov-97	92	31	10	51	5	28%	6.9%	6.9%	10.4	Aug-00	63%	37%	58	34
	15-Aug-97	89	19	15	49	6	21%								
Justice	15-Aug-98	207	64	16	127	0	31%	2.0%	0.1%	705.7	UND	31%	69%	64	143
	15-May-98	197	57	10	130	0	29%	-3.7%	-0.5%	UND	UND	27%	73%	54	143
	15-Feb-98	187	61	16	110	0	33%	6.1%	1.0%	64.4	Feb-14	37%	63%	69	118
	15-Nov-97	192	51	13	125	3	27%	-4.0%	-4.0%	UND	UND	7%	93%	13	179
	15-Aug-97	190	58	11	11a	3	31%								
Labor	15-Aug-98	61	24	15	22	0	39%	4.9%	6.1%	10.0	Feb-01	52%	48%	31	30
	15-May-98	61	21	1a	0	0	34%	13.1%	6.5%	10.1	Feb-01	54%	46%	33	28
	15-Feb-98	61	13	22	26	0	21%	4.9%	3.2%	24.9	May-04	34%	66%	21	40
	15-Nov-97	61	10	27	24	0	16%	1.4%	1.4%	60.0	Nov-12	23%	77%	14	47
	15-Aug-97	60	9	29	22	0	15%								
State	15-Aug-98	59	21	26	12	0	36%	-1.9%	0.6%	114.0	Feb-27	37%	63%	22	37
	15-May-98	64	24	27	13	0	38%	4.2%	1.4%	45.0	May-05	42%	58%	27	37
	15-Feb-98	78	26	30	22	0	33%	-5.8%	0.0%	UND	UND	33%	67%	26	52
	15-Nov-97	69	27	30	12	0	39%	-5.8%	5.8%	10.5	Aug-0C	68%	32%	47	22
	15-Aug-97	72	24	36	12	0	33%								
DOT	15-Aug-98	616	288	60	264	6	46%	20.7%	11.6%	4.6	Nov-99	70%	30%	429	187
Data Error	15-May-98	630	162	69	297	27	26%	3.0%	8.6%	8.7	Aug-0C	51%	49%	324	306
Data Error	15-Feb-98	617	140	59	321	6	23%	15.7%	11.3%	6.8	Nov-99	68%	32%	420	197
Data Error	15-Nov-97	516	36	29	149	5	7%	7.0%	7.0%	13.3	May-01	42%	58%	216	300
	15-Aug-97	430	0	19	408	3	0%								
Treasury	15-Aug-98	323	144	44	132	3	45%	5.9%	8.1%	6.8	May-0C	61%	39%	196	127
	15-May-98	323	125	46	150	2	39%	16.7%	8.8%	6.9	Feb-0C	65%	35%	211	112
	15-Feb-98	327	72	45	206	4	22%	5.5%	4.9%	15.9	Feb-02	42%	58%	136	191
	15-Nov-97	296	49	23	220	4	17%	4.4%	4.4%	19.1	Nov-02	38%	62%	114	1a2
	15-Aug-97	320	39	42	239	0	12%								
VA	15-Aug-98	319	196	0	123	0	61%	43.3%	13.1%	2.9	May-99	88%	12%	280	40
	15-May-98	11	2	0	9	0	18%	9.1%	3.0%	27.0	Feb-05	27%	73%	3	8
	15-Feb-98	11	1	0	10	0	9%	0.0%	0.0%	UND	UND	9%	91%	1	1b
	15-Nov-97	11	1	0	10	0	9%	0.0%	0.0%	UND	UND	9%	91%	1	10
	15-Aug-97	11	1	0	10	0	9%								
Department	15-Aug-98	6528	3081	555	2753	83	47%	11.9%	7.5%	7.1	Aug-00	62%	38%	4055	2473
Totals	15-May-98	6509	2295	699	2896	273	35%	4.9%	6.0%	10.8	Feb-01	53%	47%	3460	3049

MISSION - CRITICAL SYSTEMS ONLY							TOTAL VS COMPLIANCE				Projected DONE by March 1999					
	Quarter	Total	Now Compliant	New Replace	New Repair	New Retire	% Done	Qtr/Qrt Change	Average Change	QTRs NEED	Forecasted End Quarter	Percent Done	Percent N O T	Count Done	Count NOT	
	15-Feb-98	6860	2084	1087	3251	346	30%	7.1%	6.5%	10.7	Nov-00	56%	44%	3871	2989	
	15-Nov-97	7403	1720	800	4234	352	23%	5.9%	5.9%	13.1	May-01	53%	47%	3895	3508	
	15-Aug-97	7697	1336	941	5211	385	17%									
AID	15-Aug-98	7	1	2	4	0	14%	0.0%	0.9%	97.5	Feb-23	16%	84%	1	6	
	15-May-98	7	1	2	4	0	14%	0.0%	1.2%	73.1	Aug-16	18%	82%	1	6	
	15-Feb-98	7	1	2	3	1	14%	3.5%	1.8%	48.8	May-10	21%	79%	1	6	
	15-Nov-97	65	7	8	31	19	11%	0.0%	0.0%	UND	UND	11%	89%	7	58	
MIT Est	15-Aug-97	65	7	8	31	19	11%									
EPA	15-Aug-98	58	46	3	8	1	79%	13.7%	6.3%	3.3	Aug-99	92%	8%	53	5	
	15-May-98	61	40	5	14	2	66%	0.0%	3.8%	9.0	Aug-00	77%	23%	47	14	
	15-Feb-98	61	40	5	14	2	66%	6.6%	5.7%	6.0	Aug-99	89%	11%	54	7	
	15-Nov-97	61	36	5	18	2	59%	4.9%	4.9%	8.3	Feb-00	84%	16%	51	10	
	15-Aug-97	61	33	7	21	0	54%									
FEMA	15-Aug-98	49	34	7	8	0	69%	7.7%	6.2%	5.0	Nov-99	82%	18%	40	9	
	15-May-98	47	29	11	7	6	62%	13.8%	5.7%	6.8	Feb-00	79%	21%	37	10	
	15-Feb-98	48	23	15	9	1	48%	4.2%	1.6%	32.8	May-06	54%	46%	26	22	
	15-Nov-97	48	21	11	14	2	44%	-1.0%	-1.0%	UND	UND	39%	61%	19	29	
	15-Aug-97	38	17	3	17	1	45%									
GSA	15-Aug-98	58	45	8	5	0	78%	10.3%	6.9%	3.3	Aug-99	91%	9%	53	5	
	15-May-98	58	39	10	9	0	67%	13.8%	5.7%	5.7	Nov-99	84%	16%	49	9	
	15-Feb-98	58	31	17	9	1	53%	3.4%	1.7%	27.0	Nov-04	60%	40%	35	23	
	15-Nov-97	58	29	17	11	1	50%	0.0%	0.0%	UND	UND	50%	50%	29	29	
	15-Aug-97	58	29	17	11	1	50%									
NASA	15-Aug-98	158	99	6	49	4	63%	12.7%	5.6%	6.7	May-00	74%	26%	117	41	
	15-May-98	158	79	6	69	4	50%	8.2%		15.4	May-02	60%	40%	94	64	
	15-Feb-98	158	66	8	81	3	42%	-4.2%	-0.8%	77.1	Aug-17	45%	55%	71	87	
	15-Nov-97	459	211	51	194	3	46%	5.7%	5.7%	9.5	May-00	75%	25%	342	117	
	15-Aug-97	457	184	46	225	2	40%									
NSF	15-Aug-98	17	14	0	3	0	82%	34.7%	20.6%	0.9	Nov-99	124%	-24%	21	-4	
	15-May-98	21	10	1	6	4	48%	14.3%	15.9%	3.3	May-99	95%	5%	20	1	
	15-Feb-98	21	7	2	8	4	33%	33.3%	16.7%	4.0	Feb-99	100%	0%	21	0	
	15-Nov-97	16	0	4	12	0	0%	0.0%	0.0%	UND	UND	0%	100%	0	16	
	15-Aug-97	16	0	4	12	0	0%									
NRC	15-Aug-98	7	2	2	3	0	29%	0.0%	7.1%	10.0	Feb-01	43%	57%	3	4	
	15-May-98	7	2	2	3	0	29%	14.3%	9.5%	7.5	May-00	57%	43%	4	3	
	15-Feb-98	7	1	3	3	0	14%	0.0%	7.1%	12.0	Feb-01	43%	57%	3	4	

MISSION-CRITICAL SYSTEMS ONLY							TOTAL VS COMPLIANCE				Projected DONE by March 1999				
	Quarter	Total	Now Compliant	New Replace	New Repair	New Retire	% Done	Qtr/Qtr Change	Average Change	QTRs NEED	Forecasted End Quarter	Percent Done	Percent NOT	Count Done	Count NOT
	15-Nov-97	7	1	3	3	0	14%	143%	14.3%	6.0	May-99	86%	14%	6	1
	15-Aug-97	7	0	3	4	0	0%								
JPM	15-Aug-98	111	53	10	46	2	48%	13.8%	8.5%	6.1	May-00	65%	35%	72	39
	15-May-98	118	40	12	64	2	34%	8.9%	6.7%	9.8	Nov-00	54%	46%	64	54
	15-Feb-98	124	31	12	80	1	25%	11.3%	5.6%	13.3	Aug-01	48%	52%	59	65
	15-Nov-97	124	17	12	94	1	14%	0.0%	0.0%	UND	UND	14%	86%	17	107
	15-Aug-97	124	17	10	96	1	14%								
SBA	15-Aug-98	42	31	0	11	0	74%	28.6%	12.2%	2.1	May-99	98%	2%	41	1
	15-May-98	42	19	0	23	0	45%	20.2%	8.7%	8.1	Aug-00	65%	35%	28	14
	15-Feb-98	40	10	0	30	0	25%	0.0%	0.0%	UND	UND	25%	75%	10	30
	15-Nov-97	40	10	0	30	0	25%	0.0%	0.0%	UND	UND	25%	75%	10	30
	15-Aug-97	40	10	30	0	0	25%								
SSA	15-Aug-98	308	286	1	20	1	93%	0.6%	3.1%	2.3	May-99	99%	1%	305	3
	15-May-98	308	284	1	22	1	92%	4.9%	3.9%	2.0	Nov-98	104%	-4%	320	-12
	15-Feb-98	308	269	4	34	1	87%	8.1%	3.4%	3.7	Feb-99	101%	-1%	311	-3
	15-Nov-97	308	244	4	59	1	79%	-1.3%	-1.3%	UND	UND	73%	27%	224	84
	15-Aug-97	29139	23456	975	4700	8	80%								
Agency	15-Aug-98	815	611	39	157	8	75%	9.3%	7.7%	13.71	Feb-02	78.3%	21.7%	706	109
	15-May-98	827	543	50	221	13	66%	8.1%	6.2%	14.07	Feb-02	69.4%	30.6%	664	163
	15-Feb-98	832	479	68	271	14	58%	9.0%	4.4%	22.46	Aug-03	58.6%	41.4%	591	241
	15-Nov-97	1186	576	115	466	29	49%	-30.6%	2.3%	2.38	UND	45.5%	54.5%	705	481
	15-Aug-97	30005	23753	1103	5117	32	79%								
Total	15-Aug-98	7343	3692	594	2910	91	50%	11.6%	7.8%	6.3	May-00	66.0%	34.0%	4761	2582
	15-May-98	7336	2838	749	3117	286	39%	5.4%	6.0%	10.3	Feb-01	56.6%	43.4%	4124	3212
Totals	15-Feb-98	7692	2563	1155	3522	360	33%	6.6%	6.6%	10.1	Nov-00	59.7%	40.3%	4462	3230
	15-Nov-97	8589	2296	915	4700	381	27%	-39.8%						4600	3989
	15-Aug-97	37702	25089	2044	10328	417	67%								